Work 57 (2017) 573–593 DOI:10.3233/WOR-172588

Assessment of risk factors and preventive measures and their relations to work-related musculoskeletal pain among dentists

Nataša Pejčić^{a,*}, Vanja Petrović^a, Dejan Marković^a, Biljana Miličić^b, Ivana Ilić Dimitrijević^c, Neda Perunović^d and Saša Čakić^d

Received 6 January 2016 Accepted 22 November 2016

Abstract.

BACKGROUND: Dentists have a high prevalence of musculoskeletal (MS) pain, which is the most common symptom associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs). To overcome this problem, identification of the risk factors and preventive measures for MS pain are of paramount importance to dentists in order to improve their quality of life and work.

OBJECTIVES: The aims of this study were to recognize the risk factors for MS pain and their impact on dental work, as well as to identify preventive measures of MS pain among dentists.

METHODS: Self-reporting questionnaire consisting of 78 questions was exclusively developed for the study and sent to 500 working active dentists in Serbia.

RESULTS: Response rate was 71.2% (356 dentists). The prevalence of MS pain was 82.6% among dentists. The main risk factors for MS pain were advanced age, female dentists, presence of chronic diseases, long working hours, and high frequency of treated patients. The most effective preventive measures in preventing MS pain were massage treatments and physical activities. Followed by use of ergonomically designed equipment, correct and dynamic working positions, and an adequate workflow organization.

CONCLUSION: The risk factors for MS pain and their impact on dental work should widely be disseminated among dentists. Importantly, proper implementation in everyday life of adequate preventive measures is essential for preventing MS pain and development of WMSDs.

Keywords: Risk factors, preventive measures, musculoskeletal disorders, dental work, working performance, working postures

1. Introduction

1.1. Musculoskeletal disorders in dentistry

Dentistry is known as physically highly demanding in comparison with other medical professions [1]. Dentists work in non-ergonomic working

^aDepartment of Preventive and Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

^bDepartment of Informatics and Statistics, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia ^cDepartment of Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

^dDepartment of Periodontology and Oral Medicine, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

^{*}Address for correspondence: Nataša Pejčić, PhD, School of Dentistry, University of Belgrade, Doktora Subotića 2 11000 Belgrade, Serbia. E-mail: natasadpejcic@yahoo.com.

environments, which include human-tool-human interfaces [2]. Dentists, while performing various dental procedures, have static and awkward postures with repeated motions; consequently, over long periods of time they develop WMSDs [3–6]. According to some authors, WMSDs occur more frequently in dentistry than in other medical professions [5–8].

1.2. Risk factors for MSDs

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are injuries or pain in the body's muscles, bones, nerves, joints, ligaments, and blood vessels. Accumulation of these micro-injuries in the body may develop into a more serious injury over time [9].

Etiology of WMSDs is multi factorial according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [10]. Workplace environment is one of the major factors which can cause and exacerbate WMSDs, but also it is feasible to change most of its aspects. Demographic characteristics, workflow organization, interpersonal relationships at workplace, and health characteristics of dentists are the main fields to look into for the major risk factors for MS pain and development of WMSDs [10, 11].

MS pain is the most common early symptom associated with development and presence of WMSDs [2]. MS pain is very frequent among dentists and the most commonly affected areas include the back, shoulders, and neck [12]. Prolonged static contractions during work are the main physical demands of the dental profession which generates a decrease in oxygenation levels within the muscles and causes accumulation of lactic acid that metabolizes and causes pain [12, 13].

Work-related pain experienced by dentists leads to false, slow, and useless movements during work, which causes longer working times, and reduces quality of dental work and satisfaction of patients [2, 14].

1.3. Attempts to combat MS pain

The well-known concept of four-handed dentistry was born in the 1960s and it was one of the main attempts to prevent MSDs in the dental profession. The concept implies adequate positioning between dentist and dental assistant with proper workflow treatment planning and use of adequate ergonomically designed dental equipment [15]. This concept vastly improves the productivity of the dental team and quality of dental procedures. Furthermore it reduces the stress and fatigue felt by a single handed

dentist. Although the concept has proposed sitting position and use of ergonomic chairs, seated working position does not reduce MSDs [16]. The prevalence of MS pain among dentists ranges between 64% and 93% [5–8]. In addition, some studies indicated that the frequency of MSDs among dentists is even higher in the last decade [13, 17].

Nowadays, use of the optical devices such as loupes and microscopes has increased in the dental profession which are associated with less awkward postures and decreased level of the static muscle load work in different work positions [18, 19]. Interestingly, there are only a few studies conducted to assess preventive measures for reducing work-related MS pain in dentistry.

1.4. Contribution of this study in the prevention of MS pain among dentists

There are many factors which influence dental work (organizational, ergonomically, psychosocially, individuality, etc.) and it is very important to identify which of these factors are related to MS pain. Elimination of these harmful factors and use of effective preventative measures can drastically improve dentists working performance, quality of dental work, and consequently, the satisfaction of patients.

Different countries have conducted studies to determine the prevalence of MS pain within their dental profession [20–22]. In addition, the risk factors for MSDs among dentists is well documented [2, 6–9, 20, 22]. So far, the results of various studies have suggested that inappropriate working organizations, use of non-ergonomically designed equipment, and working under pressure are the most common risk factors for MSDs. Importantly, an inadequate dentist's working posture has been recognized as the main risk factor for development of MSDs [1].

On the other hand, the preventive measures of MS pain have not been measured so far and their assessment is of paramount importance for the future dental profession since the increased longevity and increase in retirement age [23].

In order to overcome these problems and make contributions to preventing MS pain and WMSDs in modern dentistry, the main research aims of this study were following: Identifying the risk factors for MS pain during dental work. Evaluating the consequences of the risk factors for MS pain on dental work. Establishing the most effective preventive measures of MS pain among dentists.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

The study used a self-reporting questionnaire (SRQ) which is specially designed by the researchers, as new test instrument. The questionnaire included 78 questions that defined: individual characteristics, socio-economic factors, methods and organization of work, job satisfaction, health status, presence and location of MS pain, applied prophylactic activities and treatment. Most of the questions were close ended. The complete questionnaire is presented in Appendix 1.

The questionnaires from the previous studies focused only on presence and location of MSDs and MS pain but they didn't evaluate the risk factors for MSDs and MS pain and their consequences on dental work. Also they didn't recognize the preventive measures of MSDs and MS pain. Since available questionnaires could not give adequate information to satisfy the research aims of this study, researchers needed to develop a new questionnaire in order to identify the main risk factors for MS pain during dental work and the wide variety of contributing factors for the development of WMSDs. Furthermore, a new questionnaire was needed to assess the consequences of the risk factors for MS pain on dental work and to identify preventive measures of MS pain.

Participants were asked to report pain or discomfort during their work in the last year. The pilot study was performed for validation of the SRQ before its distribution. This questionnaire represents a new method for measuring the effects of risk and protective factors of MS pain.

2.2. Participants

Questionnaires were distributed via e-mail to the registered working active dentists from Serbia, whose contacts were in the national base during the year 2015. After two weeks participants were reminded by e-mail. The study included dentists who worked in both the private and public sectors. A total of 500 questionnaires were distributed, with a response rate of 71.2% (356/500).

Exclusion criteria were degenerative, inflammatory rheumatic diseases and diseases of the central nervous system.

Informed consent approved by the Ethics Committee of the Dentistry School, University of Belgrade (number 36/9) was obtained from the participants.

Respondents were able to respond directly, by e-mail, or by post mail. Data was then collected, transferred to the database and statistically analysed.

2.3. Data analysis

Statistical analysis was undertaken using SPSS version 18.0 software package, with data analysed using descriptive and analytical methods. Differences in proportions was tested by Pearson's χ^2 test and parametric Student's *t*-test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney test.

Logistic regression analyses were used to determine the risk factors. Results are presented as Odds Ratio (OR), with 95% CI (95% confidence interval) and p-value. All parameters were analysed in the univariate model, and statistical significances were included in a multivariate analysis. Statistical significance was determined at $p \le 0$. 05.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic characteristics of the dentists and its impact on MS pain

A total number of 365 questionnaires were returned, indicating a response rate of 71.2% (356/500 dentists). Dentists working in public and private sectors, 25.8% and 59.8%, respectively and in both sectors 14.3%. The percentage who lived and worked in the urban and rural regions was 91.3% and 8.7%, respectively. Practice areas included 64% of general dental practitioners, 12.6% pediatric dental consultants, 5.1% prosthodontics specialists, 4.8% oral surgery specialists, 4.5% endodontists, 3.7% orthodontists, and 1.4% general dental consultants.

The sample mean age was 42 ± 9.75 years with 66% of female dentists. The sample average values were: 23.81 ± 3.56 kg/m² for the body mass index, 6.81 ± 1.10 years dentists spent for full-time studying dentistry, and 13.65 ± 9.44 years for full-time working experience. Only 2.2 percent of dentists were left-handed. Left-handed dentists used right and the dominant hand during work in 62.5% and 25.0%, respectively. Only 12.5% were able to work with both hands. The average number of treated patients was 31.99 ± 22.82 per week, and 6.4 patients per day, while 47% of dentists worked without dental assistant. Finally, the job satisfaction among dentists was 81%.

Relations between occurrences of pain during work with observed demographic characteristics of

Table 1
Correlation between occurrence of pain during work with the observed demographic characteristics of dentists

Observed para	meters	Presence	of pain	Statistical significance
		Yes	No	
Age (years)	(42 ± 9.75)	44±9	34 ± 6	cp<0.001*
Gender n (%)	Men	82 (27.9%)	39 (62.9%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
	Women	212 (72.1%)	23 (37.1%)	-
Duration of studying (years)	6.81 ± 1.10	7 ± 1.13	6 ± 0.91	$^{b}p = 0.145$
Years of working experience (years)	13.65 ± 9.44	15.89 ± 9.19	5.85 ± 6.13	$^{b}p = <0.001*$
Place of work <i>n</i> (%)	City	265 (90.1%)	60 (96.8%)	$^{a}p = 0.134$
Trace of Work n (%)	Village	29 (9.9%)	2 (3.2%)	p = 0.13 i
Children n (%)	Yes	215 (73.1%)	8 (12.9%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
Children n (n)	No	79 (26.9%)	54 (87.1%)	p (0.001
Specialty n (%)	Yes	118 (40.1%)	5 (8.1%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
specially n (n)	No	176 (59.9%)	57 (91.9%)	p (0.001
Field of specialization n (%)	Pediatrics and	45 (37.8%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
Tield of specialization n (10)	preventive dentistry	(0.10,1)	* (* /- /	P
	Endodontic	11 (9.2%)	5 (83.3%)	
	Periodontology	11 (9.2%)	0(0%)	
	Orthodontics	13 (10.9%)	0(0%)	
	Prosthetics	18 (15.1%)	0(0%)	
	Oral surgery	16 (13.4%)	1 (16.7%)	
	General dentistry	5 (4.2%)	0(0%)	
Job satisfaction n (%)	Yes	242 (82.3%)	49 (79%)	$^{a}p = 0.543$
	No	52 (17.7%)	13 (21%)	-
Type of institution n (%)	State sector	83 (28.2%)	9 (14.5%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
	Private practice	163 (55.4%)	50 (80.6%)	
	Both	48 (16.3%)	3 (4.8%)	
BMI n (%)	<18.5	7 (2.4%)	2 (3.2%)	$^{\rm b}p = 0.07$
	18.5–25	190 (64.6%)	26 (41.9%)	_
	25–30	83 (28.2%)	27 (43.5%)	
	>30	14 (4.8%)	7 (11.3%)	
Dominant working	Right-handed	268 (97.3%)	62 (100%)	$^{a}p = 0.320$
hand <i>n</i> (%)	Left-handed	8 (2.7%)	0 (0%)	

^{*}Statistically significant; ^a χ^2 -test; ^bMann-Whitney test; ^ct-test.

the dentists are given in Table 1. Occurrence of pain was statistically higher in older dentists, with longer work experience, female dentists and dentists with children ($p \le 0.01$). The most affected by pain during work were consultants of preventive and pediatric dentistry ($p \le 0.01$). The presence of pain during work is less among subjects who worked in private institutions ($p \le 0.01$).

3.2. Prevalence of work-related MSDs among dentists

Twenty eight percent of the dentists diagnosed had some type of MSDs. The most common work-related complaint was MS pain and had a high prevalence (82.6%) among dentists. The most commonly affected body regions were the neck (49.5%) and the lumbar spine (46%). The first signs of work-related MS pain appeared after three years of work. Symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome showed in

22.8% dentists. They reported that the following problems affected their hands during or immediately after work: 16% of them felt loss of normal sensation and problem with coordination, 22.8% stiffness and numbness, 22.2% weakness and fatigue. Dentists felt pain and fatigue in their hands frequently after the endodontic interventions (32.3%), then after tooth extractions (27%), during work with children (13.8%), and after the prosthodontics treatments (10.7%). The worst pain dentists felt during work (49.3%), then during work breaks (36.5%), and after work time (13%).

3.3. Body postures and their influence on work-related MS pain

The different work postures among dentists are the following: 52% of the dentists were standing and 25% of them were sitting, while in only 23% they changed their working position.

Observed param	neters	Presence	e of pain	Statistical significance
		Yes	No	
Preferred working	Sitting	74 (25.2%)	8 (12.9%)	
position n (%)	Standing	76 (25.9%)	13 (29%)	
	Combining both			$^{a}p = 0.035*$
	positions	144 (49%)	41 (66.1%)	· ·
Therapeutic chair	In lumbar region	63 (28.3%)	24 (48%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
support n (%)	In thoracic region	5 (2.2%)	9 (18%)	-
	In both, lumbar and thoracic region	13 (5.8%)	5 (10%)	
	Does not provide	142 (63.7%)	12 (24%)	
	any support	, ,	, ,	
Therapeutic chair	Yes	31 (13.5%)	13 (25.5%)	$^{a}p = 0.034*$
armrest n (%)	No	198 (86.5%)	38 (74.5%)	•
Working in the same position	Yes	141 (48%)	16 (25.8%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
longer than 40 min n (%)	No	153 (52%)	46 (74.2%)	Î
Try to work in a proper	Yes	218 (74.1%)	60 (96.8%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
body position n (%)	No	76 (25.9%)	2 (3.2%)	·
Discomfort while working in a	Yes	255 (86.7%)	22 (35.5%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$
certain body positions n (%)	No	39 (13.3%)	40 (64.5%)	_
The average number of patients during the working week (number of patients)	31.99 ± 22.82	34.70 ± 22.92	19.13 ± 17.44	^b p < 0.001*
Working with or without	Yes	154 (52.4%)	34 (54.8%)	$^{a}p = 0.725$
dental assistant n (%)	No	140 (47 6%)	28 (45 2%)	

Table 2

Correlation between occurrence of pain during work with the observed working conditions and habits

Association between occurrences of pain during dental work with the observed working conditions and habits are presented in Table 2. The dentists who changed their body postures and ($p \le 0.05$) attempted to work in a proper body posture during work ($p \le 0.01$) had a statistically significant low rate of MS pain. Also, the occurrences of pain were significantly more prevalent among dentists who used the therapeutic chairs without lumbar support ($p \le 0.01$), and without armrest ($p \le 0.05$). Dentists who kept the same body posture without a break for a long time had the highest prevalence of MS pain.

3.4. Work schedule and its effect on MS pain

Relations between occurrences of pain during work with an average time engagement of the dentist was reported in Table 3. The dentists who had more patients per week had statistically significantly high prevalence of MS pain $(p \le 0.01)$ but those working with or without a dental assistant has no influence on pain presence $(p \ge 0.05)$. Long working hours and more working days per week, indicated significantly high rate of reported pain $(p \le 0.01)$. The occurrence of pain was significantly higher among dentists who do not take a break during work $(p \le 0.01)$ and who worked with patients between 6

to 8 hours a day ($p \le 0.05$). Also, there was a high prevalence of MS pain ($p \le 0.05$) in dentists who had bad sitting body postures during work on computer for up to 4 hours a day.

3.5. Health status of the dentists and its link to MS pain

Thirty percent of dentists suffered from chronic diseases. Most of them had cardiovascular disease and diabetes with 61.7% and 21.5%, respectively, and in 16.8% they had both diseases. Also, they had varicose veins in 23.9%. The frequency of allergic reactions to latex was 63.3% and to other allergens was 8.9%. Headaches and sleeping problems affected 28.4% and 23% of the dentists, respectively. The presence of chronic diseases showed immense impact ($p \le 0.05$) on presence of MS pain among dentists. Table 4 describes relation of work-related MS pain to health status of the dentists.

3.6. Attempt to combat MSDs discomfort among dentists

Only 16% of the dentists used massage therapy and 44% of them exercise regularly. They used magnification devices in only 2.8% of the cases.

^{*}Statistically significant; ^a χ^2 -test; ^bMann-Whitney test.

Table 3
Correlation between occurrence of pain during work with an average time engagement of dentists

Observed parameters		Presence	e of pain	Statistical significance	
		Yes	No		
The average number of patients during the working week (number of patients)	31.99 ± 22.82	34.70 ± 22.92	19.13 ± 17.44	^b p<0.001*	
Working with or without dental assistant n (%)	Yes No	154 (52.4%) 140 (47.6%)	34 (54.8%) 28 (45.2%)	$^{a}p = 0.725$	
Length of working time during the day (h)	7.31 ± 1.14	7.43 ± 1.0	6.75 ± 1.3	$^{b}p < 0.001*$	
Number of working days during the week (number of days)	5.33 ± 0.55	5.39 ± 0.56	5.05 ± 0.38	$^{b}p < 0.001*$	
Work with the patients n (%)	3-5 (h)	20 (6.8%)	11 (7.7%)	$^{a}p = 0.006*$	
	6-8 (h)	166 (56.5%)	37 (59.7%)	_	
	8+(h)	108 (36.7%)	14 (22.6%)		
Conversation with the patients n (%)	0-1 (h)	61 (20.7%)	1 (1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
•	2-3 (h)	224 (76.2%)	60 (96.8%)	•	
	3–4 (h)	9 (3.1%)	1 (1.6%)		
Break time between interventions n (%)	0(h)	203 (69%)	9 (14.5%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
	1-2 (h)	85 (28.9%)	52 (83.9%)	·	
	3–4 (h)	6(2%)	1 (1.6%)		
Work on the computer n (%)	0 (h)	49 (16.7%)	2 (3.2%)	$^{a}p = 0.023*$	
-	1–4 (h)	165 (56.1%)	40 (64.5%)	-	
	5+(h)	80 (27.2%)	20 (32.3%)		

^{*}Statistically significant; ^a χ^2 -test; ^bMann-Whitney test.

Table 4

Correlation between occurrence of pain during work with the health status of the dentists

Observed p	Presence	of pain	Statistical significance	
		Yes	No	
Chronic diseases n (%)	Yes	105 (35.7%)	4 (6.5%)	ap<0.001*
Allergies n (%)	No	189 (64.3%)	58 (93.5%)	-
-	Yes	85 (28.9%)	5 (8.1%)	$^{a}p = 0.01*$
	No	209 (71.1%)	57 (91.9%)	•
Type of allergies n (%)	Latex	56 (65.9%)	1 (20%)	$^{a}p = 0.02*$
	Penicillin	10 (11.8%)	3 (60%)	·
	Pollen	11 (12.9%)	1 (20%)	
	Combination of	8 (9.4%)	0 (0%)	
	more allergens			
Family anamnesis n (%)	Locomotors system illnesses	39 (17.3%)	4 (12.1%)	$^{a}p = 0.056$
	Cardiovascular system	107 (47.6%)	23 (69.7%)	
	illnesses			
	Both	79 (35.1%)	6 (18.2%)	

^{*}Statistically significant; ^a χ^2 -test; ^bMann-Whitney test.

Magnification loupes were the most commonly used among magnification devices (90%). Dentists mostly used them while performing endodontic treatment (90%) and when doing periodontal surgery (10%). Table 5 presents relations of the preventive measures and used equipment to MS pain. Among all preventive measures, physical activity has an immense influence on the reduction of MS pain among dentists ($p \le 0.01$). Also the dentists who had MS pain used massage treatments more often than the others dentists (p < 0.01).

3.7. Consequences of work-related MS pain, injures and infections on dentist's work ability

The results in Table 6 revealed MS pain has an immense impact on work habits among dentists. The frequency of work breaks and absence were high. When seeking medical treatments they used analgesics to ease MS pain. Dentists used analgesics in 47.3% of the case and they were seeking medical treatment in 39% in order to combat the MSDs

Observe	d parameters	Presence o	Presence of pain			
		Yes	No			
Protective	Yes	275 (93.5%)	60 (96.8%)	$^{a}p = 0.551$		
equipment n (%)	No	19 (6.5%)	2 (3.2%)	•		
Type of protective	Gloves	36 (13.1%)	4 (6.7%)	$^{a}p = 0.145$		
equipment n (%)	Visor	8 (2.9%)	1 (1.7%)	Î		
	Protective glasses and gloves	0 (0%)	1 (1.7%)			
	Protective mask and gloves	166 (60.4%)	36 (63.3%)			
	Glasses, gloves and mask	65 (23.6%)	16 (23.7%)			
Optical devices n (%)	Yes	6 (2%)	4 (6.5%)	$^{a}p = 0.078$		
•	No	287 (98%)	58 (93.5%)	·		
Dental procedures in	Endodontic	68 (100%)	3 (75%)	$^{a}p = 0.40$		
which use optical devices n (%)	Periodontal surgery	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	•		
Physical activity n (%)	Yes	88 (29.9%)	54 (87.1%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$		
	No	206 (70.1%)	8 (12.9%)	*		
Frequency of physical	Everyday	7 (7.9%)	1 (1.9%)	$^{a}p = 0.253$		
activity n (%)	3 times per week	37 (41.6%)	27 (50%)	*		
• • •	Once a week	45 (50.6%)	26 (48.1%)			
Massage treatments n (%)	Yes	56 (19%)	1 (1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$		
2	No	238 (81%)	61 (98.4%)	,		
Frequency of massage	Once a week	4 (7.3%)	0 (0%)	$^{a}p = 0.07$		
treatments n (%)	Once a month	8 (14.5%)	1 (100%)	*		
` '	Occasionally	43 (78.2%)	0 (0%)			

Table 5
Correlation between occurrence of MS pain during work with the preventive measures

discomfort. Musculoskeletal diseases, varicose veins, headache, problems with sleeping and symptoms that were indicative of carpal tunnel syndrome, are correlated with MS pain during work (p < 0.001) (Table 6).

There was 17% of injured dentists in the work-place during the last year. The most frequent injuries were the following: 45.7% stab, 34.3% cuts, and 20% patients bites. Forty percent of dentists had respiratory infections, while eye infections and injuries were occasional with 40% and 16.6%, respectively. Associations of work-related injuries and infection with a presence of MS pain are presented in Table 7. Dentists who had work-related injuries and infection had significantly high prevalence of MS pain ($p \le 0.01$) but different types of injuries did not show statistical significance ($p \ge 0.05$).

3.8. The risk and protective factors of MS pain among dentists

To define the risk and protective factors univariate and multivariate logistic analysis were used and the results are presented in Table 8. The multivariate analysis showed that risk factors for an onset of the musculoskeletal pain symptoms were the following: age (OR = 0.826), a female gender (OR = 0.248), working long hours during the week (OR = 0.126), a high number of treated patients (OR = 0.961),

presence of the chronic diseases (OR = 5.480), working in the same position longer than 40 min (OR = 23.143), discomfort during work in a certain body positions (OR = 10.826), having varicose veins (OR = 8.063) and headaches (OR = 10.0551), hands weakness and fatigue (OR = 12.241) and problems with sleeping (OR = 3.832).

Also the protective factors were the following: changing body postures during work (OR = 2.028), use of therapeutic chairs with support (OR = 0.596), working in a proper body position (OR = 0.83) and physical activity (OR = 0.301).

Presence of pain increases the needs for massage treatments (OR = 16.018), higher frequency of physical activity (OR = 10.902), and more frequent breaks during work (OR = 6.518).

4. Discussion

The results of the study indicated that 82.6% of Serbian dentists suffered from work-related musculoskeletal pain. The data from relevant literature showed that in other countries prevalence of musculoskeletal pain among dentist ranged from 64% to 93% [7, 8]. Also, the most common body regions affected by pain were the neck (49.5%) and lumbar back (46%). The previous studies show the

^{*}statistically significant; ${}^{a}\chi^{2}$ -test.

Table 6
Consequences and characteristics of MS pain

Observed paramet	ers	Presenc	e of pain	Statistical significance	
_		Yes	No		
First time of appearance of pain symptom (years)	3.78 ± 3.56	3.78 ± 3.58	4.00 ± 2.00	$^{\mathrm{b}}p = 0.483$	
Daily work interference	Yes	175 (59.7%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
because of pain n (%)	No	118 (40.3%)	62 (100%)	p (0.001	
Breaks during the work	Yes	130 (44.2%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
because of pain n (%)	No	164 (55.8%)	62 (100%)	p (0.001	
Shortened working hours	Yes	15 (5.1%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p = 0.083$	
due to pain n (%)	No	278 (94.9%)	62 (100%)	p = 0.003	
Absent from work	Yes	45 (15.4%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
because of pain n (%)	No	248 (84.6%)	62 (100%)	p < 0.001	
Length of absence from work n (%)	1 day	7 (14%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p = 0.564$	
Length of absence from work $n(\%)$	2–5 days		0(0%)	p=0.304	
	2–3 days 5–15 days	23 (46%)	, ,		
	•	16 (32%)	1 (100%)		
N1 f (01)	Over a month	4 (8%)	0(0%)	a +0.001*	
Need for medical care n (%)	Yes	138 (46.9%)	1 (1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
T (1' 1 (g)	No	156 (53.1%)	61 (98.4%)	3 0.560	
Type of medical care n (%)	General practitioner	34 (24.6%)	0 (0%)	$^{a}p = 0.568$	
	Physiatrist	104 (75.4%)	1 (100%)	0 0000	
Use of analgesics n (%)	Yes	163 (55.4%)	3 (5.3%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
	No	131 (44.6%)	54 (94.7%)		
Type of analgesics n (%)	Derivatives of	67 (40.9%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p = 0.236$	
	acetylsalicylic acid				
	NSAID	76 (46.3%)	1 (50%)		
	Paracetamol	21 (12.8%)	1 (50%)		
Frequency of use of analgesics n (%)	1-2 times per months	35 (21.5%)	2 (100%)	$^{a}p = 0.072$	
	Once a week	60 (36.8%)	0(0%)		
	2–4 times per week	55 (33.7%)	0(0%)		
	Everyday	13 (8%)	0(0%)		
Musculoskeletal diseases n (%)	Yes	100 (34%)	1 (1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
	No	194 (66%)	61 (98.4%)	_	
Type of musculoskeletal disease n (%)	Spine deformities	43 (42.6%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p = 0.056$	
	Rheumatic diseases	33 (32.7%)	0(0%)	•	
	Osteoporosis	14 (13.9%)	0(0%)		
	Spinal disc herniation	11 (10.9%)	1(100%)		
Varicose veins n (%)	Yes	84 (28.6%)	1(1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
	No	210 (71.4%)	61 (98.4%)	P	
Headache n (%)	Yes	100 (34%)	1(1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
	No	194 (66%)	61 (98.4%)	F	
Problems with sleeping n (%)	Yes	79 (26.9%)	3 (4.8%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
Troblems with sleeping n (10)	No	215 (73.1%)	59 (95.2%)	p (0.001	
Symptoms indicative of	110	213 (73.170)	37 (73.270)		
carpal tunnel syndrome					
Loss of coordination and normal	Yes	57 (19.4%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
sensation in the hands n (%)	No	237 (80.6%)	62 (100%)	p < 0.001	
Stiffness and numbness in the	Yes	81 (27.6%)	0(0%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
area of the hands n (%)	No	213 (72.4%)	* *	p < 0.001	
` /	No Yes	` /	62 (100%)	an < 0.001*	
Increased fatigue in the		78 (26.5%)	1 (1.6%)	$^{a}p < 0.001*$	
area of the hands n (%)	No	216 (73.5%)	61 (98.4%)		

^{*}Statistically significant; ^a χ^2 -test; ^bMann-Whitney test.

prevalence of neck pain from 19.8% to 85%, while the prevalence of back pain is 36.3% to 60.1% [8], which is in a range with our results.

Also, the symptoms which are indicative of carpal tunnel syndrome (loss of coordination, stiffness, numbness and increased fatigue in the area of the fingers, hands, arms, forearms and shoulders), were highly represented among dentists and according to the results of multivariate logistic analysis, they were significantly associated with an appearance of pain during dental work.

In the studies from other countries, different measurement tools were used in order to determine musculoskeletal symptoms. In many studies a

	Table 7	
Correlation between occurrence	nd injuries at work	
Observed parameters	Presence of pain	Statistical si

Observed parameters		Presence	Presence of pain			
		Yes	No			
Injuries during work n (%)	Yes	60 (20.4%)	0 (0%)	^a p<0.001*		
	No	234 (79.6%)	62 (100%)	_		
Type of injuries n (%)	Stab	32 (46.4%)	0 (0%)	$^{a}p = 0.378$		
	Cuts	23 (33.3%)	1 (100%)	•		
	Bites	14 (20.3%)	0 (0%)			
Eye infection caused by	Yes	56 (19%)	3 (4.8%)	$^{a}p = 0.004*$		
professional work n (%)	No	238 (81%)	59 (95.2)	•		
Eye injury caused by	Yes	28 (9.5%)	1 (1.6%)	$^{a}p = 0.04*$		
professional work n (%)	No	266 (90.5%)	61 (98.4%)	•		
Respiratory infection n (%)	Yes	131 (44.6%)	16 (25.8%)	$^{a}p = 0.006*$		
	No	163 (55.4%)	46 (74.2%)	*		

^{*}Statistically significant; ^a χ^2 -test.

 $\label{eq:table 8} Table~8$ Risk factors for the occurrence of pain during work among dentists

Observed parameters	Univariant logisti		Multivariant logistic	regression
	OR (95%CI)	Significance	OR (95%CI)	Significance
Age	0.837 (0.795-0.880)	p < 0.001*	0.826 (0.696-0.981)	$p = 0.029^*$
Gender	0.228 (0.128-0.405)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.248 (0.093-0.662)	$p = 0.005^*$
Years of working experience	0.831 (0.785-0.881)	$p < 0.001^*$	1.072 (0.901-1.274)	p = 0.434
Children	18.370 (8.371-40.316)	$p < 0.001^*$	4.358 (1.595–11.911)	p = 0.004*
BMI	1.877 (1.248-2.821)	p = 0.013*	1.425 (0.702-2.892)	p = 0.327
Specialty	7.643 (2.975–19.634)	p < 0.001*	10.484 (2.561-42.914)	p = 0.001*
Preferred working position (sitting/standing)	1.631 (1.117–2.381)	$p = 0.035^*$	2.028 (1.201–3.425)	p = 0.008*
Therapeutic chair support	0.610 (0.486-0.766)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.596 (0.434-0.820)	p = 0.001*
Therapeutic chair armrest	0.458 (0.219-0.954)	p = 0.034*	1.271 (0.429–3.768)	p = 0.665
Number of working days during the week	0.607 (0.476–0.773)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.928 (0.552–1.560)	p = 0.779
Length of working time during the day	0.212 (0.101–0.446)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.126 (0.037–0.427)	p = 0.001*
Time of working with the patient	0.501 (0.315-0.796)	$p = 0.006^*$	0.582 (0.210-1.617)	p = 0.299
Time of conversation with the patients	3.166 (1.454–6.894)	$p < 0.001^*$	2.136 (0.524–8.713)	p = 0.290
Break between interventions	6.897 (3.805–12.500)	$p < 0.001^*$	6.518 (2.588–16.417)	$p < 0.001^*$
Work on the computer	1.597 (1.024–2.489)	p = 0.039*	1.289 (0.665–2.498)	p = 0.452
Chronic diseases	8.056 (2.845–22.812)	$p < 0.001^*$	5.480 (1.855–16.187)	p = 0.002*
Musculoskeletal disease	31.443 (4.295–230.17)	$p < 0.001^*$	23.143 (3.078–174.041)	p = 0.002*
Allergies	4.636 (1.796–11.968)	p = 0.002*	3.049 (1.111-8.365)	p = 0.030*
Eye infection	4.627 (1.399–15.302)	p = 0.012*	2.318 (0.642-8.362)	p = 0.199
Respiratory infection	2.311 (1.251-4.268)	$p = 0.007^*$	1.378 (0.693-2.740	p = 0.360
Wearing glasses or contact lenses	2.139 (1.217-3.761)	p = 0.008*	1.684 (0.904-3.138)	p = 0.101*
Working in the same position longer than 40 min	2.650 (1.435–4.892)	p = 0.002*	2.512 (1.218–5.178)	p = 0.013*
Try to work in a proper body position	0.096 (0.023–0.401)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.83 (0.018–0.378)	p < 0.001*
Discomfort while working in a certain body positions	11.888 (6.396–22.096)	p < 0.001*	10.826 (5.380–21.783)	p < 0.001*
The average number of patients	0.953 (0.934-0.972)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.961 (0.942-0.981)	$p < 0.001^*$
Physical activity	0.063 (0.029-0.139)	$p < 0.001^*$	0.301 (0.108-0.839)	p = 0.022*
Frequency of physical activity	26.490 (9.353–75.025)	p < 0.001*	10.902 (2.926–40.616)	p < 0.001*
Massage treatments	14.353 (1.948–105.76)	p = 0.009*	16.018 (2.102–122.048)	$p = 0.007^*$
Varicose veins	24.400 (3.328–178.87)	p = 0.002*	8.063 (1.044–62.254)	p = 0.045*
Headache	31.443 (4.295–230.17)	$p < 0.001^*$	10.0551 (1.379–80.737)	p = 0.023*
Fatigue in the area of the hands	22.028 (3.003–161.60)	p = 0.002*	12.241 (1.629–92.003)	p = 0.015*
Problems with sleeping	7.226 (2.202–23.714)	$p < 0.001^*$	3.832 (1.114–13.176)	$p = 0.033^*$

 \overline{OR} – odds ratio; CI – confidence interval; *p < 0.05 statistically significant.

modification of standardized Nordic Questionnaire were used. However, this method only determines location and presence of MSDs and MS pain [21, 22]. Questionnaires specially designed for the current study in addition to location and presence of MS pain, authors wanted to identify the main risk factors for MS pain during dental work, to assess the consequences of these factors on dental work, and to identify preventive measures of MS pain (Appendix 1).

Pilot surveys and questionnaires also appear to be a widely used method of measuring the occurrence of MS pain [23, 24]. Although this self-reported method may have limitations, such as the bias of respondents, it was chosen because it represents a cheap, convenient, widely used available and effective method [23, 24].

The occurrence of pain was significantly higher among women dentists, which is consistent with the results of other studies [25-27]. Also the results show the occurrence of MS pain was significantly higher in older dentists and in dentists with longer working experience. The reason of these findings most probable is the cumulative effect of MS pain on the development of MSDs. A study by Puirene, et. al. showed that advancing years had a significant negative impact on dentist's musculoskeletal health [17]. In contrary, some authors found that pain in dentistry occurred more frequently among younger dentists because experienced dentists learn how to work in adjusted postures to avoid pain. Alternatively, the other group of authors had an opinion that dentists had left the profession due to pain [22, 23].

Prevalence of pain during work was the highest among specialists of preventive and pediatric dentistry, which is in accordance with the results of Newton et al. [28]. This result can be explained by the fact that work with younger patients can be physically and mentally extremely demanding. Among children patients, levels of anxiety and fear caused by dental intervention are frequently high and work with these children requires a higher level of operator's body flexion. Unnatural working posture with time, could lead to the development of WMSDs.

We found the prevalence of pain was significantly higher among dentist who worked in non-ergonomic environment, used therapeutic chairs without lumbar support and armrests. It is well established that use of ergonomically designed equipment reduce muscular load and fatigue during work and can reduce risk of developing WMSDs [16, 18, 19]. On the contrary, the results from this study pointed out that previous

knowledge about WMSDs was not well disseminated and implemented in a daily dental work.

In this study the lowest prevalence of MS pain was among dentists who changed their working position during work. In a standing position, different groups of muscles were activated compared to those in a sitting position while performing dental work [29]. By combining both, sitting and standing, excellent work can be achieved and the different groups of muscles are less loaded. Dynamic work is less tiring and more efficient than static work. These findings are in accordance with electromyography and inclination of the study by Pejcic at al. where the results showed importance for changing working position in order to reduce fatigue and MS pain among dentists [30]. Furthermore, the selfrated pain was significantly higher among dentists who worked in the same position for longer than 40 minutes. Taken together, the alterations between these two postures, sitting and standing, should be highly suggested as preventive measure of MS pain to all dentists. This can be achieved by implementing the body postures alteration principle to the dental educational system, as early adopted good working habits are the best strategy in prevention of MSDs. Likewise, the body postures alteration principle was recommended by the American Dental Association (ADA) and European Society of Dental Ergonomics (ESDE) [31].

Current studies showed dentists with poor general health had higher prevalence of MS pain and indicated that good general health of dentists is a precondition to work without MS pain. In accordance with the above mentioned the results of multivariate logistic regression analysis indicated that dentists with varicose veins had high prevalence of MS pain. Also it is well known fact, dentistry is a highstress profession and the most frequent stress-related consequences are sleeping problems and headaches [32–34]. The results showed dentists who had sleep problems reported more frequent headaches and had a higher prevalence of self-rated MS pain. Literature suggests that psychological factors and emotional stress play key roles in the occurrence of WMSDs [35]. High level of stress in dentistry is caused by nature of clinical work, bad working environment, working with nervous and anxious patients, dental procedures which often cause pain to patient. Time pressure, often poor atmosphere in the workplace caused by problematic interpersonal relationships were also contributing factors of stress and MS pain [36]. Furthermore, long exposure to allergenic

chemicals which can be found in many products used in the dental workplaces causes allergies in dentists [37]. Dental professionals who participated in the study have the highest prevalence of allergies to latex.

Dental work can be defined as very intensive and there are many dentists who work in more than one institution with long working hours per day [17]. We found that dentists who had longer working hours, high number of patients and more working days per week also had a higher prevalence of MS pain. We strongly suggest that adequate workflow organizations with periodically rest breaks during work are useful preventive measure for reducing MS pain among dental professionals.

In this study, use of the magnification devices among dentists was very rare and had no influence on the prevalence of MS pain. The most likely reasons for this outcome are their high prices, long-time adjustments and insufficient training among Serbian dentists, even though it is well know that usage of magnification devices can improve working posture and reduce MS pain [18].

The presence of MS pain during dental work can decrease concentration, and consequently cause work-related injuries. The most common reported injuries in this study were stab and cuts with dental instruments and bites by patients. Also there were a large number of dentists who use protective equipment. However, we did not find a direct influence of usage of protective equipment on prevalence of work-related MS pain among dentists. MS pain is a very serious psycho-social problem, causing reduced efficiency and satisfaction among dentists. Dentists with MS pain had significantly higher interruption in a daily work, breaks during the work and absenteeism. Also the presence of MS pain had a significant influence on frequent analgesic use and seeking for medical care among dentists. During work, dentists should be fully committed to their patients and occurrences of MS pain during work can cause serious consequences.

Until now prevention strategies in reduction of MS pain among dentists haven't been measured. In current studies, the most effective preventive measures were regular physical activity and massage treatments. As physical activities have a positive influence on musculoskeletal system, improving flexibility, coordination, and muscular strength our recommendations are that physical activities should be adjusted to work schedule and physical characteristics of dentists.

4.1. Limitations to the study

Self-reported questionnaire was designed by researchers and as a method has limitations, such as exaggeration, fear to revile private details and other various biases may affect the results. Statistical sample size also affects the results in this study. Furthermore, response bias may occur in that dentists with MSDs may be more likely to answer the survey.

5. Conclusion

The questionnaires exclusively developed by authors can serve as a new and reliable test instrument for identification of risk and protective factors of MS pain in dentistry and also to document and evaluate self-reported MS pain during work. This method can be widely used in further research and make huge contribution and improvement in science of dental ergonomic.

MS pain had high prevalence and it was the most common symptom of WMSDs among Serbian dentists. The results indicated that general health of dentists and workflow organization have a significant impact on presence of MS pain. In the higher risk for development of MS pain are older dentists and especially females who suffer from chronic diseases and/or who had long working hours per week and/or with high frequency of treated patients. In everyday life, dentists should implement regular physical activities and massage treatments, which were the most effective preventive measures in this study. Furthermore, by combining correct sitting and standing positions during work with adequate use of ergonomically designed equipment dentists can significantly reduce MS pain. Finally, an adequate workflow organization is an imperative preventive measure for reducing MS pain and development of WMSDs.

The above mentioned data should widely disseminate to all dentists and implement in the educational system which will be the best preventive measure to combat MS pain and development of WMSDs among dentists.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank all subjects for participating in this study. The work on this project was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia, research grant # 41008.

Conflict of interest

None to report.

References

- Hayes M, Cockrell D, Smith D. A systematic review of musculoskeletal disorders among dental professionals. Int J Dent Hygiene 2009;7:159-65.
- [2] Valachi B, Valachi K. Mechanisms leading to musculoskeletal disorders in dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc 2003;134:1344-50.
- [3] Alexopoulos EC, Stathi IC, Charizani F. Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders in dentists. BMC Musculoscelet Disord 2004;5:16.
- [4] Waddell G. Biopsychological analysis of low back pain. Ballieres Clinical Rheum 1992;6:523-27.
- [5] Fish DR, Morris-Allen DM. Musculoskeletal disorders in dentists. N Y State Dent J 1998;64:44-8.
- [6] Ratzon NZ, Yaros T, Mizlik A, Kanner T. Musculoskeletal symptoms among dentists in relation to work posture. Work 2000;15:153-8.
- [7] Puriene A, Janulyte V, Musteikyte M, Bendinskaite R. General health of dentists. Literature review. Stomatologija 2007;9:10-20.
- [8] Hayes M, Smith D, Cockrell D. An international review of musculoskeletal disorders in the dental hygiene profession. Int Dent J 2010;60:343-52.
- [9] Hayes M, Taylor JA, Smith D. Predictors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among dental hygienists. Int J Dent Hygiene 2012;6(4):265-9.
- [10] World Health Organization. Identification and control of work-related diseases report of a WHO expert committee. Publisher Geneva" World Health Organization Albany, NY" WHO Publications Centre USA, 1985.
- [11] Armstrong TJ. Ergonomics and cumulative trauma disorders. Hand Clin 1986;2:553-65.
- [12] McGill S, Hughson R, Parks K. Lumbar erector spinae oxygenation during prolonged contractions; implications for prolonged work. Ergonomics 2000;43:486-93.
- [13] Biller FR. Occupational hazards in dental practice. Oral Hyg 1946;36:11-94.
- [14] Akesson I, Balogh I, Hansson G-Å. Physical workload in neck, shoulders and wrists/hands in dental hygienists during a work-day. Appl Ergon 2012;43:803-11.
- [15] Finkbeiner BL. Four Handed Dentistry Revisited. J Cont Dent Prac 2000;1(4):1-9.
- [16] Haddad O, Sanjari MA, Amirfazli A, Narimani R, Parnianpour M. Trapezius Muscle Activity in using Ordinary and Ergonomically Designed Dentistry Chairs. Int J Occup Environ Med 2012;3(2):76-83.
- [17] Puriene A, Aleksejuninene J, Petrauskiene J, Balciubniene I, Janulyte V. Self-reported occupational health issues among Lithuanian dentists. Industrial Health 2008;48:369-74.
- [18] Hayers M, Osmotherly P, Smith D, Ho A. The effect of loupes on neck pain and disability among dental hygienists. Work 2016;53(4):755-62.
- [19] Branson BG, Black MA, Beck MS. Changes in posture: A case study of a dental hygienist's use of magnification loupes. Work 2010;35:467-76.

- [20] Szymanska J. Disorders of the musculoskeletal system among dentists from the aspect of ergonomics and prophylaxis. Ann Agric Environ Med 2002;9:169-73.
- [21] Anton D, Rosecrance J, Merlino L, Cook T. Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms and carpal tunnel syndrome among dental hygienists. Am J Ind Med 2002;42:248-57.
- [22] Finsen L, Christensen H, Bakke M. Musculoskeletal disorders among dentists and variation in dental work. Appl Ergon 1998;29:119-25.
- [23] Leggat PA, Smith DR. Musculoskeletal disorders selfreported by dentists in Queensland, Australia. Aust Dent J 2006;51:324-27.
- [24] AlWazzan KA, Almas K, ElShethri SE, AlQuahtani MQ. Back and neck problems among dentists and dental auxiliaries. J Contemp Dent Pract 2001;2:1-10.
- [25] Lindorfs P, von Theile U, Lundberg U. Work characteristics and upper extremity disorders in female dental health workers. J Occup Health 2006;48:192-7.
- [26] Sartorio F, Vercelli S, Ferriero G, D'Angelo F, Migliario M, Franchignoni M. Work-related musculoskeletal diseases in dental professionals.1. Prevalence and risk factors. G Ital Med Lav Ergon 2005;27:165-9.
- [27] Hornqwist Bylunda S, Christina Ahlgren. Experiences and consequences for women with hand-arm vibration injuries. Work 2010;35:431-9.
- [28] Newton JT, Mistry K, Patel A, Patel P, Perkins M, Saeed K, et al. Stress in Dental Specialists: A Comparison of Six Clinical Dental Specialties. Prim Dent Care 2002;9(3):100-5.
- [29] Callaghan J, McGill S. Low back joint loading and kinematics during standing and unsupported sitting. Ergonomics 2001;44(3):280-94.
- [30] Pejčić N, Djurić-Jovicić M, Miljković N, Popović DD, Petrović V. Posture in dentists: Sitting vs. standing positions during dentistry work – An EMG study. Srp Arh Celok Lek 2016;144(3-4):181-7.
- [31] Beach JC, DeBaise CB. Assessment of ergonomic education in dental hygiene curricula. J Dent Educ 1998;62(6):421-5.
- [32] Gilmour J, Stewardson DA, Shugars DA, Burke FJ. An assessment of career satisfaction among a group of general dental practitioners in Staffordshire. Br Dent J 2005;198(11):701-4.
- [33] Goebring C, Gallacchi MB, Kunzi B, Bovier P. Psychosocial and professional characteristics of burnout in Swiss primary care practitioners: A cross-sectional survey. Swiss Med Wkly 2005;135:101-8.
- [34] Baran ORB. Myers B. Type Indicator, burnout, and satisfaction in Illinois dentists. Gen Dent 2005;53(3):228-34.
- [35] Malmgren-Olsson EB, Armelius BE. Physical and psychological health and social relations in patients with prolonged musculoskeletal disorders. Scand J Caring Sci 2001;15: 181-9.
- [36] Moore R, Brodsgaard I. Dentists' perceived stress and its relation to perceptions about the anxious patients. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2001;29:73-80.
- [37] Hamann CP, Depaola LG, Rodgers PA. Occupationalrelated allergies in dentistry. J Am Dent Assoc 2005:136:500-10.

Appendix 1

OUESTIONNAIRE - ERGONOMICS IN DENTISTRY

Respected colleagues,

During daily work we are fully committed to our patients, in order to offer them professional and quality service and support.

At the same time, we often neglect ourselves, our body position, attitude, habits during the work. It all could have implications on our health. Clinical intraoral examination, as well as the most frequent dental procedures has always required certain unnatural body postures.

Dentists are at high risk for developing MSDs because of frequently awkward body postures, overextended positions while performing dental procedures, repetitive and highly forceful motions, prolonged static positions and unsupported sitting as well as the extended workdays.

The pain in certain parts of the body can result in cumulative micro trauma which affected dentists as consequences of frequent, repeated and prolonged unhealthy body positions while performing different dental procedures.

Recently many researchers have suggested that dentists often suffer from different musculoskeletal diseases as a consequence of non-ergonomic workplace conditions. The term "ergonomics" increasingly became very popular within dental profession. It is a multi-and interdisciplinary discipline that studies workers and their relationship to their occupational environment.

Since we do not have adequate information about the health of dentists, as well as the factors that influence on process and quality of work, please fill out the questionnaire that will not take more than 15 minutes, and obtained information will be considerable for assessment situation in this area.

In hope that you will honestly fill out the questionnaire We are very grateful

No	QUESTIONNARE Note: complete the questionnaire answers typing or putting a sign (*) in the corresponding colored box.								
1.	Year of Birth								
2.	Gender			Male					Female
3.	Years of study dentistry								
4.	Years of working experience in dentistry								
5.	Place of work								
6.	Do you have children?			Yes					No
7.	Height: [cm]								
8.	Weight: [kg]								
9.	Do you have post graduate diploma?			Yes					No
10.	If you are a consultant, from which field?								
11.	Are you satisfied with your occupation?			Yes					No
12.	In what kind of institution do you work?		Public			Priva	ite		Both
13.	Are you		le	ft-hande	d				right-handed
14.	If you are left-handed, which hand do you use during work?			left hand					right hand
15.	Preferred working position		sitting		standing				alternating sitting and standing positions
					l	â	a) lumbar	region	
16.	Do you have					b) thoracic	region	1
	therapeutic chair with support in:				c) b		ımbar and		
					d	l) do n	not provide	e any su	pport
17.	Do you use chair with adequate therapeutic armrest?		Yes No					No	
18.	Length of working time during the day[h]								
19.	Number of working days during the week:								

20. Average time involvemen	t during th	e day:								
[h] (Sign and put in an appro	priate plac	ce)								
<u> </u>	Ιο	112		124		F.C.		7.0	9+	
	0 [h]	1-2 [h]		3-4 [h]		5-6 [h]		7-8 [h]	[h]	
Work with the patients										
Conversation with the patients										
Break time between										
interventions Work on the computer										
·										
Physical activity										
21. Are you immunized against hepatitis B?			Yes	3					No	
22. Do you suffer from some chronic disease?			Yes	5					No	
23. If so, please specify from which:										
24. Do you suffer from a musculoskeletal			Υ	es					No	
disease?							Arth	ritis		
							Myo: Spond			
						Sy		sclerosis		
							Osteop	orosis		
						Li	imb def	ormities		
25. If so, please specify							Joint dis			
from which:								vature of the		
(multiple answers possible)					кур	nosis (cu	irvature	of the uppe	er Dack)	
						Rh		diseases		
			Lumbago							
			Sciatica Spinal disc herniation							
						other_				
26. Do you have any allergies?			Yes No							
27. Which allergies do you have?										
28. Do you have a family history of:			Locomotors system diseases							

		Card	liovascular disease				
29. Do you have injuries during the work in the last year?	Yes		No				
			Stab				
30. Please specify which injury do you have?	Cuts						
		Other					
31. Do you use protective equipment during the work?	Yes		No				
		a) Pi	rotective glasses				
32. If so, what kind of			b) Gloves				
protective equipment do you use?		c) F	Protective mask				
			d) Visor				
33. Have you had an eye infection caused by the professional work during last year?	Yes		No				
34. Have you had an eye injury caused by the professional work during last year?	Yes		No				
35. Have you had a respiratory infection during last year?	Yes		No				
36. Do you wear glasses or contact lenses?	Yes		No				
37. Do you use some optical devices while working?	Yes		No				
38. Which of the optical		ā	a) Magnifiers				
devices do you use?	b) Microscope						
	c) LCD screen						
	a) Always						
39. When do you use optical devices?		b) Sometim	nes (about half of time)				
optical devices?	c)Use, but very rarely						
40. For which dental procedures you use optical devices?	Dental check Removing of calculus and polishing Dental fillings						
(put the sign in appropriate place)			owns and Bridges ile prosthetic				

		<u> </u>	1			
			lacements			
		Ort	Orthodontics			
			dodontics			
			ontal surgery			
			extraction			
44 Parate C. 1		Working	g with children			
41. Does it often happen that you working in the same position	Yes			No		
longer than 40 min? 42. Are you trying to work in a proper body position?	Yes			No		
43. Do you feel discomfort while working in a certain body positions?	Yes			No		
44. Do you manage to work without stretching, twisting or tilting movement?	Yes	Yes				
45. The average number of patients during the working week:						
46. Do you work with dental assistant?	Yes			No		
47. Are you taking any recreational physical activity?	Yes			No		
	a) everyday					
48. If so, how often?	b)3 times per week					
		c)	once a week			
		d) not t	aking up exercise			
49. Are you taking a massage treatments?	Yes			No		
		a)3	times per week			
50. If so, how often?	b) once a week					
	c) once a month					
	d) occasionally					
51. Do you feel pain in some parts of the body, during the work?	Yes			No		
52. If so, in which part of the body you feel	Neck					
pain?	Lumbar region					

(multiple answers possible)			т.	horacic regi	ion		
, , ,	Thoracic region						
	Left shoulder						
	Right shoulder						
			В	oth shoulde	ers		
				Hands			
				Wrist			
				Hand finge	rs		
				Knees			
				Feet			
			Ot	her			
53. If you have pain in the hand, where it is localized:	In the area of the palm	In	the upp	per part of h	nand		In both areas
54. Do you have varicose veins?	Yes						No
55. Do you often have a headache?	Yes						No
56. Do you feel a loss of coordination and normal sensation in the hands?	Yes						No
57. Do you fell stiffness and numbness in the area of the fingers, hands, arms, forearms and shoulders?	Yes						No
58. Do you feel increased fatigue in the area of the fingers, hands, arms, forearms and shoulders?	Yes						No
59. Do you have problem with sleeping?	Yes						No
60. After how many years of practice, have you felt pain?							
61. Is the pain:	Chror	ic					Acute
62. If the pain is acute, which is the duration of painful episodes? [h]							
63. Does the pain interfere with your	Yes						No

daily work?						
64. Do you take breaks during the work because of pain?		Yes			No	
65. Is the length of yours working hours shortened due to pain?		Yes			No	
66. If it is, how many hours?						
67. Have you been absent from work because of pain?		Yes			No	
68. If so, how long?						
69. Have you asked for professional medical advice, because of pain?		Yes			No	
			a) Ge	neral practition	er	
			b) Physiatrist		
			c)	Psychologists		
70. If so, whose?			d) N	europsychiatris	t	
			e)Cł	hiro practitione	r	
			f) Other:			
71. Do you use analgesics?		Yes			No)
72. Please specify which?						
73. How many days per week you use painkillers?						
		74. Level	of Yours pain			
		(select the ap	propriate number	-)		
0	2	4	6	8	10	
	66		9 😥			

75. What is yours subjective feeling of physical effort during the following dental treatment?

(select the appropriate number)

0 - Completely effortless

10 - Maximum effort

Dental procedures	
Dental check	0 2 4 6 8 10
Removing of calculus and	0 2 4 6 8 10
polishing	
Dental fillings	0 2 4 6 8 10
Dental Crowns and	0 2 4 6 8 1010
Bridges	
Mobile prosthetic	0 2 4 6 8 10
replacements	
Orthodontics	0 2 4 6 8 10
Endodontics	0 2 4 6 8 10
Periodontal surgery	0 2 4 6 8 10
Tooth extraction	0 2 4 6 8 10
Working with children	0 2 4 6 8 10

76. What is yours subjective feeling of mentally effort during the following dental treatment?

(select the appropriate number)

0 - Completely effortless

10 - Maximum effort

Dental procedures	
Dental check	0 2 4 6 8 10
Removing of calculus and	0 2 4 6 8 10
polishing	
Dental fillings	0 2 4 6 8 10
Dental Crowns and	0 2 4 6 8 1010
Bridges	
Mobile prosthetic	0 2 4 6 8 10
replacements	
Orthodontics	0 2 4 6 8 10
Endodontics	0 2 4 6 8 10
Periodontal surgery	0 2 4 6 8 10
Tooth extraction	0 2 4 6 8 10
Working with children	0 2 4 6 8 10

77	How often	dovou	norform	following	procedures?
//.	now orten	uo you	periorm	IOHOWING	procedures

(put the sign in appropriate place)

Dental procedures	The most frequent	Often	Rarely	Never
Dental check				
Removing of calculus and polishing				
Dental fillings				
Dental Crowns and Bridges				
Mobile prosthetic replacements				
Orthodontics				
Endodontics				
Periodontal surgery				
Tooth extraction				
Working with children				

78. After which dental procedures you most often feel fatigue and pain? (put the sign in appropriate place)

Dental check	
Removing of calculus and	
polishing	
Dental fillings	
Dental Crowns and Bridges	
Mobile prosthetic	
replacements	
Orthodontics	
Endodontics	
Periodontal surgery	
Tooth extraction	
Working with children	