

**Supplementary Figure 1. Oncologic outcomes of patients undergoing bladder-sparing treatment for BCG-unresponsive NMIBC, stratified by presence or absence of carcinoma *in situ* at time of BCG failure.** Kaplan-Meier curves showing recurrence-free (**A**), progression-free (**B**), cystectomy-free (**C**), and bladder-intact metastasis-free survival (**D**) of patients opting for initial bladder-sparing management of BCG-unresponsive NMIBC. Recurrence-free survival was a composite of high-grade intravesical and systemic recurrence. Progression-free survival was a composite of muscle-invasive (≥ T2) and metastatic (nodal/distant) progression. A large majority of patients experienced disease recurrence within the first two years, and over half underwent radical cystectomy within five years of initial BCG failure. Abbreviations: BCG, bacillus Calmette-Guérin; CIS, carcinoma *in situ*; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.



**Supplementary Figure 2. Oncologic outcomes of patients undergoing initial cystectomy and 1 versus ≥ 2 lines of bladder-sparing treatment.** Kaplan-Meier curves showing metastasis-free (**A**) and cancer-specific (**B**) survival, stratified by receipt of initial RC, 1 line of BST, or ≥ 2 lines of BST. *p* values are per the log-rank test. Comparisons between groups should be made with caution given the likely presence of immortal-time bias in the ≥ 2 lines BST group. Abbreviations: BST, bladder-sparing treatment; RC, radical cystectomy.

| **Treatment** | ***n* (%)** |
| --- | --- |
| total patients | 89 |
| continued BCG (reinduction or additional maintenance) | 55 (62%) |
| alternate intravesical agents | 23 (26%) |
| gemcitabine / docetaxel | 4 (4.5%) |
| gemcitabine single agent | 11 (12%) |
| mitomycin C | 3 (3.4%) |
| other / clinical trial | 5 (5.6%) |
| re-TURBT or observation only | 9 (10%) |
| systemic pembrolizumab | 1 (1.1%) |
| non-standard regimen | 1 (1.1%) |

**Supplementary Table 1.** Bladder sparing treatments administered for BCG unresponsive non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Only first-line treatments (i.e., administered at the point of BCG unresponsive disease) are included.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristic** | **Metastasis-free survival (univariable)** | | | **Metastasis-free survival (multivariable)** | | |
| **HR** | **95% CI** | ***p*** | **HR** | **95% CI** | ***p*** |
| treatment choice at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| radical cystectomy | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| bladder-sparing treatment | 1.31 | 0.68 - 2.52 | 0.42 |  |  |  |
| BCG failure modality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ≥T1 disease after induction | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| HG or ≥T1 ≤ 6 months after last maintenance | 0.67 | 0.32 - 1.39 | 0.28 | 0.80 | 0.33 - 1.90 | 0.61 |
| CIS ≤ 12 months after last maintenance | 0.48 | 0.20 - 1.14 | 0.098 | 0.65 | 0.20 - 2.10 | 0.47 |
| year of BCG unresponsive diagnosis | 1.06 / year | 0.97 - 1.17 | 0.19 |  |  |  |
| age, years | 1.03 / year | 1.00 - 1.07 | 0.097 | 1.03 / year | 0.99 - 1.07 | 0.17 |
| female sex (vs male) | 0.89 | 0.39 - 2.04 | 0.78 |  |  |  |
| body mass index (BMI) (kg / m2) | 1.01 / point | 0.95 - 1.07 | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Charlson comorbidity index | 1.12 / point | 0.94 - 1.35 | 0.21 |  |  |  |
| current or prior smoker (vs never smoker) | 1.14 | 0.56 - 2.30 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| prior history of NMIBC (vs no history) | 0.71 | 0.31 - 1.62 | 0.42 |  |  |  |
| BCG at outside facility (versus at our facility) | 1.25 | 0.65 - 2.42 | 0.51 |  |  |  |
| initial tumor stage (prior to iBCG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta/Tis | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | 1.13 | 0.59 - 2.15 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| presence of CIS prior to iBCG (versus no CIS) | 1.00 | 0.49 - 2.02 | 0.99 |  |  |  |
| tumor size at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| small (< 2 cm) | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| medium (2 - 5 cm) | 1.97 | 0.91 - 4.27 | 0.084 | 1.83 | 0.82 - 4.07 | 0.14 |
| tumor stage at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta/Tis | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| T1 | 1.77 | 0.89 - 3.53 | 0.10 | 1.06 | 0.40 - 2.79 | 0.91 |
| presence of CIS at BCG failure (versus no CIS) | 0.91 | 0.46 - 1.81 | 0.80 |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristic** | **Cancer-specific survival (univariable)** | | | **Cancer-specific survival (multivariable)** | | |
| **HR** | **95% CI** | ***p*** | **HR** | **95% CI** | ***p*** |
| treatment choice at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| radical cystectomy | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| bladder-sparing treatment | 1.58 | 0.78 - 3.19 | 0.20 |  |  |  |
| BCG failure modality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ≥T1 disease after induction | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| HG or ≥T1 ≤ 6 months after last maintenance | 0.91 | 0.42 - 1.98 | 0.81 |  |  |  |
| CIS ≤ 12 months after last maintenance | 0.86 | 0.38 - 1.96 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| year of BCG unresponsive diagnosis | 1.04 / year | 0.95 - 1.14 | 0.41 |  |  |  |
| age, years | 1.04 / year | 1.01 - 1.08 | 0.028 | 1.05 / year | 0.99 - 1.11 | 0.092 |
| female sex (vs male) | 0.55 | 0.20 - 1.57 | 0.27 |  |  |  |
| body mass index (BMI) (kg / m2) | 1.00 / point | 0.93 - 1.06 | 0.87 |  |  |  |
| Charlson comorbidity index | 1.25 / point | 1.03 - 1.50 | 0.023 | 1.01 / point | 0.83 - 1.46 | 0.51 |
| current or prior smoker (vs never smoker) | 2.42 | 0.93 - 5.40 | 0.072 | 2.47 | 0.93 - 6.54 | 0.069 |
| prior history of NMIBC (vs no history) | 1.27 | 0.61 - 2.65 | 0.52 |  |  |  |
| BCG at outside facility (versus at our facility) | 0.80 | 0.39 - 1.68 | 0.57 |  |  |  |
| initial tumor stage (prior to iBCG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta/Tis | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | 0.97 | 0.50 - 1.91 | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| presence of CIS prior to iBCG (versus no CIS) | 1.06 | 0.51 - 2.19 | 0.87 |  |  |  |
| tumor size at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| small (< 2 cm) | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| medium (2 - 5 cm) | 2.11 | 0.97 - 4.60 | 0.059 | 2.16 | 0.94 - 4.97 | 0.068 |
| tumor stage at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta/Tis | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| T1 | 1.10 | 0.56 - 2.14 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.34 - 1.55 | 0.41 |
| presence of CIS at BCG failure (versus no CIS) | 0.84 | 0.42 - 1.70 | 0.63 |  |  |  |

**Supplementary Table 2.** Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analysis of factors associated with metastasis-free survival (top panel) and cancer-specific survival (bottom panel) in patients with BCG-unresponsive NMIBC. Covariates were selected for inclusion in the multivariable analysis based on a p value ≤ 0.10 on univariable analysis. Tumor grade is not shown because all tumors were high grade at initial presentation, and all but one tumor was high grade at the time of BCG-unresponsive disease. “Large” tumor size is excluded because all tumors were small or medium-sized at the time of BCG failure. Abbreviations: BCG, bacille Calmette-Guérin; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer.

| **Treatment** | **2nd line** | **3rd line** | **4th line** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| additional BCG | 18 | 4 | 1 |
| gemcitabine single agent | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| gemcitabine/docetaxel | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| mitomycin C | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| systemic immune checkpoint inhibitor (e.g. pembrolizumab) | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| intravesical trial agent | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| valrubicin | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| chemoradiation (e.g. for progression to MIBC) | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| partial cystectomy | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| gemcitabine/mitomycin C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 40 | 17 | 10 |

**Supplementary Table 3.** Second- through fourth-line bladder-sparing treatment modalities in patients with failure of an initial course of bladder-sparing therapy. Abbreviations: BCG, bacillus Calmette-Guérin; MIBC, muscle invasive bladder cancer.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristic** | **Univariable** | | | **Multivariable** | | |
| **OR** | **95% CI** | ***p*** | **OR** | **95% CI** | ***p*** |
| BCG failure modality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ≥T1 disease after induction | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| HG or ≥T1 ≤ 6 months after last maintenance | 0.98 | 0.33 - 2.93 | 0.98 | 1.09 | 0.35 - 3.41 | 0.89 |
| CIS ≤ 12 months after last maintenance | 0.18 | 0.04 - 0.89 | 0.036 | 0.18 | 0.04 - 0.91 | 0.038 |
| year of BCG unresponsive diagnosis | 1.07 / year | 0.94 - 1.20 | 0.31 |  |  |  |
| age, years | 0.99 / year | 0.95 - 1.04 | 0.67 |  |  |  |
| female sex (vs male) | 1.16 | 0.37 - 3.61 | 0.80 |  |  |  |
| body mass index (BMI) (kg / m2) | 0.71 / point | 0.39 - 1.31 | 0.27 |  |  |  |
| Charlson comorbidity index | 0.95 / point | 0.73 - 1.24 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| current or prior smoker (vs never smoker) | 0.54 | 0.20 - 1.45 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| prior history of NMIBC (vs no history) | 0.94 | 0.31 - 2.89 | 0.91 |  |  |  |
| BCG at outside facility (versus at our facility) | 0.64 | 0.24 - 1.72 | 0.38 |  |  |  |
| initial tumor stage (prior to iBCG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta/Tis | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | 1.89 | 0.70 - 5.12 | 0.21 |  |  |  |
| presence of CIS prior to iBCG (versus no CIS) | 0.53 | 0.17 - 1.66 | 0.28 |  |  |  |
| receipt of multiple lines of BST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| initial RC (0 lines BST) | reference |  |  | reference |  |  |
| 1 line BST | 1.26 | 0.35 - 4.60 | 0.73 | 1.51 | 0.39 - 5.77 | 0.55 |
| 2+ lines BST | 4.12 | 1.30 - 13.1 | 0.016 | 4.47 | 1.33 - 15.0 | 0.015 |
| tumor size at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| small (< 2 cm) | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| medium (2 - 5 cm) | 0.94 | 0.24 - 3.73 | 0.93 |  |  |  |
| tumor stage at BCG failure |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ta/Tis | reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | 1.56 | 0.57 - 4.27 | 0.39 |  |  |  |
| presence of CIS at BCG failure (versus no CIS) | 0.66 | 0.24 - 1.84 | 0.43 |  |  |  |
| receipt of NACT (versus no NACT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Supplementary Table 4.** Univariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with pathologic extravesical disease (≥ pT3 or pN+) among patients undergoing radical cystectomy (either initial RC or following initial BST). Covariates were selected for inclusion in the multivariable analysis based on a p value ≤ 0.10 on univariable analysis. Tumor grade is not included because all tumors were high grade at initial presentation, and all but one tumor was high grade at the time of BCG-unresponsive disease. “Large” tumor size is excluded because all tumors were small or medium-sized at the time of BCG failure.

Abbreviations: BCG, bacille Calmette-Guérin; BMI, body mass index; BST, bladder-sparing treatment; CI, confidence interval; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; OR, odds ratio; RC, radical cystectomy
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